

Annual Performance Report CY2023 (for projects/programme approved under the PMFs)

APR CY2023 Section 1: General Information - v2 (Draft) 2024-04-30 19:21 +09:00

[APR CY2023] Section 1: General Information

Please note that this is section 1 of the five Annual Performance Report (APR) sections. APR will be considered valid only after all the five sections are filled with relevant details.

Reporting Year *

2023

Please indicate reporting year

1.1 Please indicate if information provided in this APR is disclosable outside the Green Climate Fund. *

- Yes - The Accredited Entity agrees that the information reported is disclosable.
- No - The information reported is partly confidential. The disclosable version of the APR will be attached.

If you select the second option [No - The information reported is partly confidential. The disclosable version of the APR will be attached], please follow the below steps.

- Step 1: Fill in all the sections of the APR with disclosable information.
- Step 2: Save each section using the 'PDF' function provided in the top-right corner. (Do NOT submit an APR at this step).
- Step 3: Attach all of the disclosable sections to the attachment boxes below, which will be shown once you check the second option only.
- Step 4: Update all the sections of the APR with non-disclosable information.
- Step 5: Submit the non-disclosable APR which herein enclose the disclosable APR in PDF format.

Please select disclosable section

- Section 1: General Information
- Section 2: Implementation Progress
- Section 3: Financial Information
- Section 4: Environmental and Social Safeguards & Gender
- Section 5: Annexes

Please attach the disclosable Section 1 of the Annual Performance Report

FP158_Annual_Performance_Report_CY2023_(for_projects_programme_approved_under_the_PMFs)_General Information.pdf

Please attach the disclosable Section 2 of the Annual Performance Report

FP158_Annual_Performance_Report_CY2023_(for_projects_programme_approved_under_the_PMFs)_Implementation Progress.pdf

Please attach the disclosable Section 3 of the Annual Performance Report

Please attach the disclosable Section 4 of the Annual Performance Report

Please attach the disclosable Section 5 of the Annual Performance Report

FP158_Annual_Performance_Report_CY2023_(for_projects_programme_approved_under_the_PMFs)_Annexes and Attachments.pdf

1.2 Please indicate if this report has been shared with the relevant NDA(s) for this Funded Activity

Yes

Once the APR is created in the PPMS, please use the 'Open as PDF' function to download the report in PDF format and to share with relevant authorities (i.e. NDAs) before submission. Select 'Yes' only if shared to ALL the relevant NDA(s).

Please Indicate the date of submission to NDA(s)

2024-04-15

If the APR is submitted to multiple NDAs, please indicate the latest date of submission to NDA, and provide the other dates per NDA in the further explanation box below.

Please provide further explanation, if any:

1.3 Funded Activity Title (Project/Programme Title)

Ecosystem-Based Adaptation and Mitigation in Botswana's Communal Rangelands

1.4 Funding Proposal Reference Number

FP158

1.5 Board Meeting Number

28

1.6 Accredited Entity contacts for this APR

Full Name		
Lilian Nyaega		
E-mail Address	Phone Number	
Inyaega@conservation.org	+254726962808	

1.7 Executing Entity(ies)

Full Name of Executing Entity

Conservation International Botswana

Please confirm if the pre-filled name of Executing Entity(es) indicated in the funding proposal document is correct. Please update the information accordingly if there have been any changes since the project implementation. In addition, please clarify the Executing Entity(es) name precisely if needed. For example, if 'local financial institutions' was indicated as an EE in the funding proposal, please put their exact names here. You may want to add/remove rows if needed.

Please write the name of the country where the Executing Entity is headquartered.

Botswana

Actual Outputs delivered by Executing Entities

Component 1: Strengthening institutions and support systems for climate responsive planning and management.
Outputs:
1.1 New gender equitable structures and systems for climate responsive planning and implementation by communal populations are operationalised
1.2 New job creation programme and veterinary approach for climate responsiveness are adopted by national departments.
1.3 New rangeland management curricula developed and operationalised to expand skills for restoration and regenerative grazing.
1.4 New range-land monitoring system is operationalised, embedded, and utilized in market, carbon monitoring, and policy systems.
1.5 Improved government policy initiatives on climate change actions and needs, enabling adaptive management.

Component 2: Reducing GHG emissions and negative livelihood impacts through new job deployment in rangeland rehabilitation, improved livestock management, and climate impact monitoring.
Outputs:
2.1 Job creation and social safety net programmes resourced by the Government are used to deploy restoration teams for climate-resilient land and livestock management in target Project Areas
2.2 Rehabilitation of ecosystems and improved management of land, soil, and livestock implemented and monitored to increase ecosystem productivity, reduce vulnerability of beneficiary populations, and reduce GHG emissions on 4.6 million hectares of climate-vulnerable communal rangelands.

Component 3: Promoting climate-sensitive enterprise development and value-chain investments to sustain transformational change.
3.1 Market readiness trainings, enterprise development support, supply chain facilitation, local fund development build the enabling conditions for improved low-emission livestock value chains and climate resilient rural economies.
3.2 Selected financiers and value-chain players are aware and supported to incentivise rangeland stewardship and adopt carbon-optimisation practices and technologies.

Please list the Outputs the executing entity is actually implementing whether it is based on the approved Funding Proposal or any other actual outputs that have been delivered.

1.8 Project Duration

From

2021-12-01

To

2030-06-01

Check if the extension request for the project duration was approved by the Secretariat during the reporting period.

Please reach out to the GCF portfolio managers if you need an extension

Yes (it was extended)

No

1.9 Current Year of Implementation

2

Indicate the year number, e.g., '2'

1.10 Annual reporting period covered in this report

From

2023-01-01

To

2023-12-31

Confirmation and Acknowledgement of Information *

* This is a required question to submit section 1 of the Annual Performance Report (APR).

The accredited entity hereby confirms that the information provided in section 1 is complete and ready for submission.

Annual Performance Report CY2023 (for projects/programme approved under the PMFs)

APR CY2023 Section 2: Implementation Progress (PMFs, B15~B31) - v2 (Draft) 2024-04-30 22:01 +09:00

[APR CY2023] Section 2: Implementation Progress (for projects/programme approved under the PMFs)

Please note that this is section 2 of the five Annual Performance Report (APR) sections for projects/programmes that have been approved from B.15 to B.31. The APR will be considered valid only after all the five sections are filled with relevant details.

2.1 Overall (summary) project progress

This report describes the achievements of the FP158 – Ecosystem Based Adaptation and Mitigation in Botswana’s Communal Rangelands (“The Project”) from January 1 to December 31, 2023.

The Project made progress in engaging both government agencies and communities. Through targeted trainings and outreach initiatives, it has enhanced its field presence and visibility. Furthermore, formal Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) have been established with the Ministry of Agriculture (*Annex 3*) and Implementing Partners, further solidifying the Project’s collaborative efforts.

To facilitate Project implementation, the team expanded, including the recruitment of a science team comprising specialists in soil science, rangeland ecology, GIS, water, and gender safeguards. Additionally, Farmer Facilitation Leads (FFLs) have been appointed for each project area.

Below is an overview of the achievements within each project component along with an analysis of the main challenges encountered during implementation.

Component 1: Strengthening institutions and support systems for climate-responsive planning and management.

Output 1.1: New gender equitable structures and systems for climate responsive planning and implementation by communal populations are operationalised.

- The Project successfully completed the development and rollout of training programs and outreach materials on Behaviour-Centred Design (BCD) and Herding for Health (H4H). Reaching 613 community members, 340 of whom were women, this engagement received positive feedback and laid the pathway for consistent and targeted community engagement and follow-up.
- Farmer Facilitation Teams and Village Grazing Committees have been established in one (1) site and six (6) others are in progress. These groups will play a key role in restoration outcomes through the Rangeland Stewardship Agreements.
- Grievance committees have also been set up within grazing area committees as mechanisms for transparency, resolving conflicts and improving the Project outcomes.
- The process of establishing childcare centers, aimed at addressing childcare needs and removing barriers to women’s participation, has begun.

Output 1.2: New job creation programme and veterinary approach for climate responsiveness are adopted by national departments.

- To enhance coordination among institutions, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) was formed, consisting of representatives from key government ministries and implementing agencies. Thus far, two (2) PSC meetings have taken place, enabling the development and finalization of PSC Terms of Reference (ToR) (*Annex 4*), as well as discussions on project progress and the approval of EcoRanger recruitment procedures.
- Despite the postponement of a November meeting, the PSC has been instrumental in setting agendas and engaging relevant stakeholders for effective project oversight.
- Progress has also been achieved in aligning Project plans with the *Ipelegeng* Program (a government-led initiative in Botswana aimed at providing temporary employment opportunities to unemployed individuals through community-based projects), with momentum gained through endorsement by the PSC.

Output 1.3. New rangeland management curricula developed and operationalised to expand skills for restoration and regenerative grazing.

- Agreements have been signed with the Project’s training partner, the Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources’ Centre for Inservice and Continuing Education (BUAN-CICE), to deliver the rangeland management curriculum.
- The process of drafting and refining curriculum materials is currently underway, with ten core units finalized and agreed upon through a validation process.

- The formalization of the EcoRanger course is nearing completion. The Human Resources Development Council (HRDC) registration process has been initiated, with the Level 1 EcoRanger course recognized as a Non-credit Bearing Short Course (NCBSC). Efforts to obtain full registration from the Botswana Qualifications Authority (BQA) are ongoing.

Output 1.4. New rangeland monitoring system is operationalized, embedded, and utilized in market, carbon monitoring, and policy systems.

- The development of the Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal (RSIP) has reached 60% completion, aligning with the original timeline as planned.
- Substantial progress has been made in database development, with a focus on creating and testing the geodatabase.

Output 1.5. Improved government policy initiatives on climate change actions and needs, enabling adaptive management.

- Although the project wasn't explicitly singled out in high-level discussions, there were general acknowledgments regarding the expansion of the *Ipelegeng* Program into the Agricultural Sector, a development that aligns well with the project's objectives.
- Following successful media workshop and engagement, the positive coverage of the Project's Ecosystem-based Adaptation and Emissions Reduction approaches across various media outlets has significantly increased awareness and understanding of the project among national media stakeholders.

Component 2: Reducing GHG emissions and negative livelihood impacts through new job deployment in rangeland rehabilitation, improved livestock management, and climate impact monitoring.

Output 2.1. Job creation and social safety net programs resourced by the Government are used to deploy restoration teams for climate-resilient land and livestock management in target Project Areas.

- 31 graduate monitors have been successfully recruited and deployed in Kgalagadi, Northwest, and Bobirwa regions to assist in compliance monitoring of project activities and assessing project impacts.
- Guidelines for the Rangeland Stewardship Graduate Employment Program have been finalized and are awaiting adoption by the MoA.
- Community outreach initiatives have been conducted to identify potential candidates for the EcoRanger program.

Output 2.2. Rehabilitation of ecosystems and improved management of land, soil, and livestock implemented and monitored to increase ecosystem productivity, reduce vulnerability of beneficiary populations, and reduce GHG emissions on 4.6 million hectares of climate-vulnerable communal rangelands.

- The Project has successfully completed the social baseline assessments through household surveys in all targeted villages. The assessments included 326 women headed households.
- Procurement of Grazing Support Packages has progressed in 2023 through the order of nine (9) mobile bomas of which six (6) were received in December 2023. These will be stored safely until their distribution to area offices for onward distribution to communities' once Project activities resume in 2024.

Component 3: Promoting climate-sensitive enterprise development and value-chain investments to sustain transformational change.

Output 3.1: Market readiness trainings, enterprise development support, supply chain facilitation, local funds build the enabling conditions for improved low-emission livestock value chains

- Inspiration and motivation toward resilient enterprises and value chain investments were cultivated by the Project team as a result of the learning exchanges conducted at Meat Naturally.

Challenges

Collaboration with the Government of Botswana

While the Project has made progress in strengthening relationships with partners and beneficiaries including signing of the MoU with the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA) in February, challenges were encountered related to collaboration with the MoA, later in the year. In November 2023, Conservation International (CI) received communication from the MoA about the need to reconstitute the Project Steering Committee (PSC) and involve the Government more closely in work planning.

To address the issue, several engagement meetings between CI Africa Division Senior Vice President, the CI Botswana Country Director and Government MoA and Ministry of Finance have taken place between January and March 2024, with proposals for the way forward being communicated formally. Following these discussions, the MoA and CI have agreed to: Establish a reconstituted PSC ensuring the right level of representation; Review and clarify roles and responsibilities ensuring complementarity between the funding proposal and MoU; Engage a dedicated MoA Project Coordinator to facilitate communication and oversight; and Undertake an independent lessons-learned Project Review of the first two (2) years of project implementation (through a joint CI-MoA Reference Group, to the PSC). As of April 2024, the above actions are in various stages of implementation. Meanwhile, CI has initiated project staff rationalization to optimize resource allocation. A core team will be maintained to provide operational support with additional assistance from the CI Botswana country office.

Although this pause in implementation has affected the timeline for deliverables from November 2023 and part of 2024, the collaborative efforts to address the concerns and ensure continuity will improve coordination among partners, increase oversight and contribute to the delivery of successful project outcomes.

Staff turnover

Unfortunately, 2023 also saw significant departures from the team. This included the CI Herding for Health (H4H) Executive Director, Project Chief of Party (CoP), Field Implementation Director, Procurement Manager, Finance Manager, and the Water Specialist. Recruitment of the CoP and a Project Delivery Director were underway in November but were put on hold awaiting Project resumption. This includes hiring of Farmer Facilitation Associates (FFAs) and other field personnel.

Provide a narrative report describing the overall progress on the implementation of the funded activity, focusing on implementation achievements, delays, and challenges according to the planned activities. For project/programme with on-lending and equity investment into the projects or companies, kindly provide information on the projects/companies where such investment was made during the calendar year including the implementation status of the invested project or companies' operation. As relevant, include references to other sections of this report (including Annexes or Attachments). Include a description of key milestones of the funded activity achieved during this reporting year (for example, during 2022 only) including any deviations from original expectations. Also, describe challenges encountered and actions undertaken to resolve these challenges, and lessons learned during the implementation, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results. If any issues have arisen in the last twelve (12) months of implementation that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project, please provide a description of those items and how they have impacted the implementation period and final targets. Kindly make sure that this section just gives an overall summary and doesn't have overlap with other sections.

2.2 Performance against the GCF investment criteria

Please check the box as applicable.

- The performance of the project/programme against the GCF investment framework criteria remains aligned with the Funding Proposal.
- The performance of the project/programme against the GCF investment framework criteria deviates from the assumptions made in the Funding Proposal.

Relevant Links

[The GCF investment criteria framework](#)

2.3 Project Outputs Implementation Status

Please note the below fields are mandatory but only a one-time activity. Please fill out the project output details regardless of any progress made so far, which will be auto-populated in the next APR and on wards.

Use 'Add Row' button to add multiple outputs and/or activities reported against one output

Project Output Name *	
1.1 New gender equitable structures and systems for climate responsive planning and implementation by communal populations are operationalised	
The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.	
Project Activity Name *	
1.1.1 Train a network of at least 9 Farmer Facilitator Teams (Project staff, gov't extension workers, NGO partner field staff, and unemployed graduates) to understand climate-resilient grazing practices and to be able to mobilise collective regenerative grazing agreements	
Status *	Implementation Progress *
Activity started - progress delayed	6 %
Original timeline planned for this activity *	
Y1 Q4 - Y5 Q4	
Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.	

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Training of Trainer (ToT) Behavior Centered Design (BCD) Curriculum and Herding for Health (H4H) outreach materials.

Status: Complete

Progress: 2 out of 2 materials complete

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q4 – Y2 Q4

Behaviour Centred Design (BCD) Materials Developed

Following the familiarization visit facilitated by Rare (an Implementation Partner) in 2022, Training of Trainers (ToT) materials on cooperative behavior theory were produced. These materials formed the foundation for successful BCD and Campaigning for Change (C4C) workshops held for Farmer Facilitation Teams (FFT) training of the Hukuntsi-Tshane Cluster conducted in May and June. (see sub-activity b. below).

Herding for Health (H4H) Community Outreach Materials Developed, Tested and Distributed

In Q2, a range of H4H outreach materials covering topics like planned grazing, bush and rainwater management, Rangeland Stewardship Agreements (RSA), and ecosystem restoration were developed. These materials are designed for use in planned FFT member trainings. To ensure continuous improvement and adapting to the changing context, these materials will be revised regularly based on feedback from the Project team, the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA), as well as regional rangelands and H4H teams. Following the development of community outreach booklets in Q2, these materials underwent successful testing and began distribution in Q3. In July, the full team including all Area Managers, the Science Team, two Farmer Facilitation Leads, and a representative from Meat Naturally convened in the Tshane community for the inaugural rollout and testing of the outreach materials covering topics such as eco-literacy, community visioning, planned grazing, rainwater management, bush management, RSAs, and Ecosystem Restoration. The testing phase proved successful, leading to the printing and distribution of 635 copies in Q3 and Q4, primarily targeting participating communities. These materials are exclusively available to community members who attend sessions to ensure proper understanding and contextualization within the project goals.

b) 75 FFT Members Trained in BCD ToT Program

Status: Started – Behind Schedule

Progress: 47 out of 75 participants engaged

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q4 – Y5 Q4

Internal Capacity Strengthening

The Project team completed an online self-paced course in preparation for the in-person 2 day-BCD and 1-day Framing Workshop held from 9 to 11 May 2023 in Gaborone.

Behavior-Centered Design and Framing Workshop for Project Team and Key Partners Conducted

In the first half of May, 55 participants (47 external partners and 8 members of the project team) from various sectors including key partners from the government, NGOs, and academia attended the BCD and Framing workshop at the Protea Hotel Masa Square, Gaborone. Representatives from the MoA (including field locations), District Councils, Land Board, Ministry of Youth, Sports, and Culture (MYSC), Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MENT), Ministry of Land and Water Affairs (MLWA), and the Ministry of Finance (MoF) were among the attendees. Other partners included Botswana Agricultural Sciences Professionals Association (BASPA), Communities Living Amongst Wildlife Sustainably (CLAWS), Citizen Entrepreneurial Development Agency (CEDA), Kalahari Conservation Society (KCS), Climate and Life, Wild Entrust Africa (WEA), and BUAN. The workshop aimed to identify target behaviors and audiences for environmental challenges, explain key BCD concepts and principles, behavioral levers and strategies, the use of data to create prototypes for community engagement, and application of behavioral insights to current and future conservation issues.

Farmers Facilitation Teams (FFTs) Established

Area Managers reported to their duty stations in January 2023 and initiated introductory meetings with district and village structures within their areas of operations. This included focused group discussions with farmers committees and Government extension workers in preparation for the establishment of FFTs, particularly for Hukuntsi-Tshane Cluster as being the first cluster FFT for Rare's FFT/C4C Workshop.

Campaigning for Change (C4C) ToT in Hukuntsi

In May and June, Rare and the Project team led a 10-day workshop on social marketing for behavior change. Topics covered social influences, target audiences, key methods, campaign frameworks, research methods, objective setting and marketing strategies, rangeland stewardship and message development. The community developed a key message promoting the adoption of the Rangeland Stewardship Agreement (RSA) and H4H model.

"If I (a cattle owner of the communities in Hukuntsi-Tshane, Botswana), adopt the Range Stewardship Agreement, I will contribute to improved restoration of grasslands in my area. By signing up for the program and having my cattle join the communal herd I can expect to have grazing infrastructure and veterinarian support for my cattle, professional EcoRangers to protect my cattle from wildlife, and better access to markets. All of this will improve my annual income and the health of my herd for my family and community. By participating in the RSA, I will be able to shift my cattle herd from unsustainable to sustainable grazing practices, and see the value in agreeing to make some decisions about my cattle in coordination with the collective by adopting the Herding for Health model. I will position myself as a leader in my community and take pride in my livelihood as a cattle owner that provides health and wealth for my community and protects the beautiful lands of Hukuntsi-Tshane."

Nevertheless, the integration of the Project rollout with Rare's activities posed timing and alignment challenges, given Rare's inadequate experience in Botswana and with rangelands. Additionally, Rare's planning timeline between workshops affected the project's agility in sequencing community outreach activities. To address this, the Project continued outreach on H4H, RSAs and climate change awareness (outlined in 1.1.2 below). This engagement will allow communities to familiarize themselves with the concepts before engaging in social marketing activities.

c) 225 FFT Members Trained in H4H

Status: Started - Delayed

Progress: 75 out of 225 participants engaged

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q4 – Y5 Q4

Field Teams Deployed and MoA Buy-in Obtained

The Area Managers who were hired and onboarded in 2022 were deployed to their respective bases in January 2023. In June, Farmer Facilitation Leads (FFLs) for the Kgalagadi and Ngamiland Areas were hired, while the FFL for Bobirwa was hired in September 2023 after an initial search failed to find a suitable candidate. Upon the deployment of Area Managers, the MoA demonstrated its commitment to the Project by communicating with the Offices of the District Commissioners (via internal government correspondence), providing an overview of the project's objectives, governance structure, the role of Area Managers, and requesting district facilitation to ensure successful project implementation.

Project Team Trained in H4H

In February 2023, the Project's senior team and field leadership attended a benchmarking trip to H4H sites in South Africa and Mozambique. Additionally, a 2-day seminar on H4H was conducted for the Project team by the then Executive Director of H4H in collaboration with BUAN. In June, newly hired FFLs underwent a week-long training on herd-ing and planned grazing at the Africa Center for Holistic Management (ACHM), providing them with firsthand experience at a research site where H4H is based.

Farmer Facilitation Teams (FFTs) Formed

During this implementation period, one FFT was fully formed, and six were in formation stage. The FFT for Kgalagadi North was formed in Q2 comprising nineteen (19) people (7 women, 12 men) representing each demonstration village and nine (9) Government of Botswana (GoB) representatives. These representatives include the Department of Animal Production, Department of Wildlife, Department of Forestry and Range Resources, Ministry of Youth, Sports and Culture, Department of Gender Affairs, Department of Veterinary Services, Land Board, Member of Parliament Constituency Office, and Department of Social and Community Development. Similar processes are underway in Ngamiland and Bobirwa, tailored to the specific needs of each area, including distances between demonstration sites.

Farmer Facilitation Teams (FFTs) Trained in H4H

Approximately thirty-five (35) FFT members (8 demonstration site representatives and 27 GoB representatives) have received H4H training through engagement with demonstration communities. This training equipped FFT members with the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively engage communities in H4H initiatives.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) 10 Farmer Facilitation Teams Trained in H4H (cumulative)

Note: For each activity, the key milestones for the next reporting assume that an agreement is reached with the Ministry of Agriculture to fully resume the project by July 1, 2024

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.1 New gender equitable structures and systems for climate responsive planning and implementation by communal populations are operationalised

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.1.2 Build collective understanding and equally empower male and female participation in Rangeland Stewardship Agreements within Botswana's legal and governance framework

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

5	%
---	---

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q3 - Y8 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Consultative workshop held with 135 project area and national stakeholders on Rangeland Stewardship Agreements.

Status: Activity Started – Progress Delayed

Progress: 613 out of 135 key stakeholders consulted

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q3 – Y2 Q1

Community Consultation Materials Developed

Community consultation materials were developed in Q2 in preparation for community RSA consultations that were carried out from July through November. Four important outreach topics are being integrated to form comprehensive outreach program covering CCA, H4H, RSA, and ESMP/GAP over 5 days of engagement.

Community Consultation Materials Developed

In preparation for community consultations on RSA scheduled from July through November, the Project produced materials which integrated four important topics covering climate change awareness, H4H, RSA, and Environmental and Social Management Plans/Grazing Agreement Plans.

Consultations on the RSA Conducted

Consultations on the RSA concept took place between July and October, engaging a total of six hundred and thirteen (613) community members and leaders (273 men and 340 women) across seven (7) demonstration villages in Kgalagadi (Tshane, Lehututu, Hukuntsi) and Ngamiland (Sehithwa, Shorobe, Gumare, and Beetsha). The consultations covered various topics, including the overall concept of RSA, preparation for the RSA process, land mapping and prioritization, establishment of rules, identification of participants, grazing plan development, and review of RSA templates.

The discussions were positive and constructive, allowing communities to map their land and identify key grazing areas, water points, roads, boundaries, and related features. There was significant interest in discussing breeding and bulls in the herds, despite breeding not being a project objective but a key consideration for community members considering joining an RSA. This engagement process further addressed several key misconceptions including high expectations of up-front investment in water infrastructure regarding grazing support packages, and concerns about relocation or restricted access to grazing areas, particularly in Ngamiland.

As a result of these consultations, the RSA process received interest with seven (7) demonstration communities collecting names of interested livestock owners and the number of animals that they would enroll into the program, along with identifying land to implement H4H. This is a key step in signing an RSA and establishing a grazing plan.

Village Grazing Committees Formed

Following the consultations on the RSA concept, Village Grazing Committees were established in all four (4) demonstration communities of Ngamiland, three (3) in Kgalagadi and one (1) in Bobirwa. These committees represent the interests of the community members and serve as a platform for local governance and decision-making related to rangeland management.

b) Conduct 2 Roadshows, engaging 120 local stakeholders.

Status: Activity Started – Progress on track

Progress: 4 out of 2 Road Shows Conducted, reaching 369 out of 120 local stakeholders (225 women, 114 men)

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): starting Y1 Q4

Roadshows Conducted Raising Awareness on Environmental and Social Safeguards

In this reporting period, we made progress in the development of localized Gender Action Plan (GAP) and Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) through the hiring of Farmer Facilitation Leads (FFLs) and the Gender and Safeguards Specialist (GSS). Working in collaboration with the Conservation International safeguard specialist team, materials for plan development were designed and comprehensive outreach and training conducted. The establishment of a grievance committee integrated within newly formed grazing area committees was a significant achievement.

Key insights from the outreach program conducted in four (4) sites in Ngamiland include:

- Concerns were raised about the potential exclusion of women, expectant mothers, and those with toddlers from EcoRanger job opportunities due to several reasons, such as childcare responsibilities and safety concerns. Mitigation measures proposed included implementing safety measures at the rangelands and conducting awareness training to foster mutual understanding between men and women.
- Concerns for women EcoRangers including fears of sexual abuse and harassment and cultural beliefs in some villages (e.g., Beetsha) restricting women from herding cattle during menstruation. Proposed mitigation measures include conducting awareness training that promotes mutual respect and understanding between genders.
- Participants expressed concerns about health, security, and risks associated with the project, particularly for women EcoRangers. Proposed mitigation measures included ensuring emergency response systems, establishing communication networks, providing healthcare services, and implementing safety measures at the workplace.
- Consensus across the demonstration sites on the importance of establishing a conflict resolution mechanism, with participants highlighting the role of traditional leaders, such

as Kgosi/Chief, in the resolution process.

Moving forward, efforts will focus on gaining buy-in for the ESMP and GAP from the relevant stakeholders and implementing a Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) across project areas. Materials for use in communities for sensitization and awareness-raising on GRM are in the finalization stage.

c) Train 135 VDC members on Climate Change Awareness (CCA) (incl. Gender, Rangelands, Livestock)

Status: Activity Started – Progress Delayed

Progress: 24 out of 135 VDC members engaged on climate change awareness (286 community members overall)

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q2 – Y8 Q4

Climate Change Awareness Training Materials Developed

Climate change awareness training materials were developed in preparation for workshops focusing on social and ecological aspects such as rangeland and livestock health and the impacts of climate change on different community groups. These topics were integrated into the outreach program for FFTs.

Climate Change Awareness Workshops Conducted across Seven (7) Demonstration Villages

Between July and October 2023, climate change awareness workshops were conducted, engaging 613 community members and leaders (273 men and 340 women) from Kgalagadi (Tshane, Lehututu, Hukuntsi) and Ngamiland (Sehithwa, Shorobe, Gumare, and Beetsa). These workshops served as a platform to introduce the project, build rapport with communities and discuss perceptions, causes and effects of climate change. The outcome was an improved mutual understanding of the community and the Project team on the impacts of climate change in these communities and was a key foundational element of relationship-building.

d) RSA template developed and endorsed by project area and national stakeholders

Status: Activity Started – Progress Delayed

Progress: 0 out of 1 template endorsed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q3 - Y2 Q1

Analysis of Legal Frameworks Relevant to the RSA Conducted and Draft RSA Template Developed

The development of the Draft RSA template extended from 2022 into the first half of 2023. The legal service provider for this task, Minchin & Kelly delivered two key components: (1) Report on the National Policies and legislation and other documents relevant to the introduction of the RSA tool and (2) a proposed RSA template. The draft template is used as a starting point for discussions with stakeholders to ensure the final RSA effectively addresses community needs and aligns with legal frameworks.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) Train 973 Community Leaders and Members on CCA, RSA, and H4H in 27 sites (cumulative)

b) Create Localized ESMPs for 9 demonstration villages and 18 replication villages (cumulative 27)

Note: For each activity, the key milestones for the next reporting assume that an agreement is reached with the Ministry of Agriculture to fully resume the project by July 1, 2024

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.1 New gender equitable structures and systems for climate responsive planning and implementation by communal populations are operationalised

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.1.3 Replicate and amplify Herding for Health (H4H) approach to develop locally appropriate EbA Rangeland Stewardship agreements, grazing plan designs, and support partnerships across Village Grazing Sites

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

15

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q4 - Y5 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Secure MoUs for 6 demo sites

Status: Completed

Progress: 6 out of 6 demo sites with MoUs signed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q2

Memorandums of Understanding (MoUs) with Implementing Partners (IPs) Signed

This process has involved the development of a standard Implementation Partners MoU template and sharing with the local level IPs (CLAWS, Wild Entrust, and Climate & Life in Ngamiland and BASPA in Bobirwa) for review and feedback. Subsequent revisions to the MoU were made in May followed by signing. These IPs are key to the delivery of the RSAs.

Coordination Modalities with Implementing Partners Established

The Project team held a meeting with Implementing Partners. in September to establish coordination modalities. Additionally, a WhatsApp coordination group was set up for Ngamiland partners to facilitate communication and alignment of activities.

It is important to note that the Project is experiencing a change in the role of Implementing Partners. As grants provided to partners are purely in-kind (non-financial), their level of commitment to project activities varies depending on the resources available to them and the context of each site. While the commitment to collaboration remains, the extent of engagement will depend on the partners' own resources, funded activities, and existing rapport with the communities. The project team is reevaluating the appropriateness of the current IP model. While collaboration remains a goal, engagement will depend on each partner's capacity. The current MoUs reflect a more coordination and collaboration relationship.

b) Childcare partners identified and contracted

Status: Started – Behind Schedule

Progress: 0 out of 9 partners onboarded

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q4 – Y5 Q4

Collaboration Initiated to Address Childcare Services Barrier

In the first half of 2023, efforts to identify a childcare partner at the field level were unsuccessful, primarily due to a limited pool of suitable service providers. In Q3, the Gender and Safeguards Specialist (GSS) initiated stakeholder engagement at both national and local levels to address childcare services. SS joined in Q3 and engaged stakeholders at national and local level to follow up childcare services. This included a meeting with Department of Community Development under the Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development to discuss guidelines and project objectives aimed at establishing childcare centers with the aim of facilitating mothers' participation in the project by providing accessible childcare options. Additionally, the GSS is collaborating with project area stakeholders and communities to assess whether the absence of child-care services is a significant barrier to participation.

The Project is simultaneously working with the Department of Community Development and Village Development Committee to identify suitable partners for setting up daycare centers.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

-

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.2 New job creation programme and veterinary approach for climate responsiveness are adopted by national departments

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.2.1 Support establishment of inter-institutional coordination mechanisms for climate-resilient rangeland management and emissions reduction across government, NGOs, community-based organisations, and farmers' associations

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

15	%
----	---

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q3 - Y8 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Establish PSC

Status: Completed

Progress: 1 out of 1 PSCs established

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q3 – Y1 Q4

Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA) and Conservation International Signed

The MoU between the MoA and Conservation International was signed on 2 February 2023, marking a significant milestone in the collaborative efforts aimed at addressing climate change impacts in Botswana's communal rangelands. This MoU not only formalized the partnership between the two entities but served as a catalyst for the Project Steering Committee (PSC) establishment. Through this MoU, parties committed to leveraging their respective expertise, resources, and networks to achieve the objectives of the Project. This collaboration strengthens the Project's capacity to address complex challenges related to climate change adaptation and mitigation in communal rangelands.

Project Steering Committee Established and Terms of Reference Adopted

The establishment of the PSC involved a meeting held in June to draft the Terms of Reference (ToR) for the committee. The finalized ToR (Annex 4), presented and adopted at the second PSC meeting in September outlined the committee's key responsibilities and composition. Co-chaired by the Permanent Secretary MoA and the Country Director CI Botswana, the PSC comprises key stakeholders from government ministries and implementing agencies. It plays a key role in guiding the strategic direction of the Project, ensuring accountability and alignment with national policies and objectives as well as promoting inter-ministerial coordination. The PSC also approves the Project Annual Work Plan (AWP) and any modifications, considering their impact on timelines, budget, and deliverables in accordance with guidelines of the Green Climate Fund (GCF) and the responsibilities of CI Botswana as the implementing entity.

b) Conduct 2 PSC Meetings

Status: Completed

Progress: 2 out of 2 PSC meetings held

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): twice per year; Y1 Q3 - Y8 Q4

Project Steering Committee Meetings Conducted

An inaugural Project Steering Committee workshop took place on 21 June 2023 at the Grand Palm Hotel in Gaborone. The event was attended by representatives from various entities including the MoA, Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development (MLGRD), Stats Botswana, Botswana National Meat Producers Union (BNMPU), Botswana Vaccine Institute (BVI), Local Enterprise Authority (LEA), Citizen Entrepreneurial Development Agency (CEDA), BOCONGO (Botswana Council of Non-Governmental Organizations), Beef Cluster, and Meat Naturally. Co-chaired by the Deputy PS (Permanent Secretary) of MoA and the CI Botswana Country Director, the workshop featured presentations on the project overview and progress updates. Additionally, the attendees reviewed the ToR for the PSC with ministries confirming their designated assignees for long-term involvement.

Following the inaugural PSC workshop in June 2023, the first regular PSC Meeting was held in September. The meeting was attended by representatives from five (5) GoB ministries who are regular members of the PSC namely MoA (DAP - co-chair), MoF (GCF-NDA), MLGRD, MLHA and MET, along with Conservation International (Country Director – co-chair) and the GCF Project Executive Management. Key deliberations are highlighted below.

- Finalized PSC ToR: The draft ToR was discussed, revised, and adopted by the PSC.
- Adoption of EcoRanger recruitment Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs): The PSC approved SOPs for collaboration with the Ipelegeng program in recruiting EcoRangers. MoAs Department of Animal Production (DAP) and MLGRD will finalize logistical and financial arrangements for the recruitment and training of EcoRangers.
- Secondment Agreement between CI and MoA for Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) Specialist: The PSC acknowledged the need to finalize a secondment agreement to allow for the recruitment of a specialist focused on Commodity Based Trade (CBT), to be stationed with the DVS in Maun.
- Chief of Party Recruitment: The PSC expressed support for GoB guidance in recruiting a replacement Chief of Party, specifically during the shortlisting stage.

November PSC Meeting: The planned PSC meeting on 23 November 2023 was postponed. This was due to the PSC waiting for GoB guidance in the potential restructuring of its membership. As a result, the discussion and approval of the draft Annual Work Plan and Budget for 2024 had to be rescheduled. Plans for reconstituting the PSC will be implemented agreed on in 2024.

c) PSC & PMC joint meeting in one project area

Status: Started - Progress Delayed

Progress: 0 out of 1 meeting held

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q1 – Y8 Q1

During the postponed November meeting, the Project Steering Committee (PSC) and Project Management Unit (PMU) were scheduled to discuss and finalize plans for visiting the project areas. These discussions and agreements will now be included in the agenda for the next PSC meeting.

d) Hold Initial Rural Development Council (RDC)/ Rural Extension Coordination Committee (RECC) meeting

Status: Started - Progress Delayed

Progress: 0 out of 1 RDC/RECC meeting held

Several engagement meetings with the Office of the Vice President, which chairs the RDC meeting have been held. A meeting was requested to give a briefing to the RDC, and the Project is awaiting confirmation.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

- a) Reconstitute PSC
- b) Align Workplan with GoB
- c) Conduct 4 PSC Meetings (cumulative)

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.2 New job creation programme and veterinary approach for climate responsiveness are adopted by national departments

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.2.2 Support the development of a Rangeland Stewardship job creation initiative under the Ipelegeng Programme within Ministry of Local Government

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

20	%
----	---

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q2 - Y1 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

- a) Develop Rangeland Stewardship Job Creation Initiative Standard Operating Procedures (SoPs), Workplan, and Budget for Ipelegeng / Job Creation
- Status: Started – Progress Delayed
 Progress: 0 out of 1 set produced
 Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q2 – Y1 Q4

Terms of Engagement for EcoRangers Endorsed

A Request for Proposals (RFP) toward the development of the rangeland stewardship Job Creation Initiative was issued in Q2. Despite receiving two (2) bids, neither met the technical requirements. Consequently, the team initiated direct discussions with the Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development (MLGRD) and MoA. To kick-start the Ipelegeng activities, efforts are being made to align Project plans with the Ipelegeng process – a government initiative focused on youth employment. This in-volved multiple meetings with the Ipelegeng National Coordinator.

The September PSC meeting provided further momentum. The terms of engagement for EcoRangers, a key component of the job creation initiative, were unanimously accepted and endorsed. The next step involves collaboration between the Deputy PS (or higher) of the MoA and MLGRD to move forward. In October, a national Ipelegeng coordination meeting was conducted in Gumare (Ngamiland). This meeting brought together all District Ipelegeng Coordinators and CI Area managers, facilitating direct connections between national and district Ipelegeng Coordinators and the project team.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

- a) Activate EcoRanger Programme under Ipelegeng

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.2 New job creation programme and veterinary approach for climate responsiveness are adopted by national departments

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.2.3 Expand capacity of Ministry of Agriculture Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) to respond to climate-induced diseases and infection and enable Commodity based Trade in the Project Areas

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

0	%
---	---

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q3 - Y1 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Recruit and Onboard CBT Manager

Status: Activity Started - Progress Delayed

Progress: 0 out of 1 CBT Manager recruited and onboarded

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q3 – Y1 Q4

The secondment agreement with MoA to bring on a CBT manager has been in development since Q4 2022. While the job description was approved by MoA in Q1, 2023, progress has been slow. This is attributed to the government review process which considers each secondment agreement on a case-by-case basis, determining if the position will become permanent within the Ministry's structure. A government-imposed recruitment freeze for new positions (with few exceptions) has further delayed approval.

To address these delays, discussions with MoA Permanent Secretary have been held and commitments for expediting the process made. In addition, MoA is appointing new focal points dedicated to the Project, allowing for more focused attention.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) Co-Development of CBT Protocols with GoB

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.3 New rangeland management curricula developed and operationalised to expand skills for restoration and regenerative grazing

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.3.1 Create and monitor deployment of a new national curriculum for climate-resilient livestock herding to build adaptive capacity at the individual and community level

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

30

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q2 - Y2 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Sign contract with BUAN

Status: Started – Progress delayed

Progress: 2 out of 2 contracts signed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q2

Contract with Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources - Centre for In-Service and Continuing Education (BU-AN-CICE) signed.

A contract with BUAN-CICE to facilitate capacity strengthening initiatives related to the EcoRanger curriculum development was signed on 14 June 2023. Following the signing of the in-kind agreement in September, a tender was issued for the procurement of two double-cab pickup trucks. However, none of the bids received during the tender process met the specified requirements. Therefore, a retendering process will be necessary in 2024.

b) EcoRanger Curriculum Tier 1 developed with BUAN (including HRDC accreditation)

Status: Started - Progress Delayed

Progress: 1 out of 1 curriculum drafted and 0 out of 1 accreditation received

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q3 – Y2 Q4

A Request for Proposals (RFP) for a curriculum development consultancy was launched in Q4, 2022. However, no submissions were received, leading the team to declare the RFP as failed in Q1, 2023. Subsequently, a revised RFP was issued and advertised in local papers and on the Conservation International website starting from 10 January and with a deadline of 6 February. Following the deadline, the committee reconvened to evaluate the sole bid received from the Southern African Wildlife College. However, the bid was found to have various shortcomings, including high costs and a lack of vetted qualified personnel. Nonetheless, the following progress has been made in developing the curriculum with BUAN-CICE.

Draft EcoRanger Curriculum Core Units Developed

Following the signing of the BUAN grant agreement and high-level planning meetings for curriculum development in Q2, the process progressed through Q3. Weekly meetings were held in July and August with the Subject Matter Expert (SME) writers. Collaboration between the Project Science Team and BUAN SMEs (Subject Matter Expert) ensured a process that utilized existing resources while aligning with the Project's objectives. The Project Science Team began to collaborate with BUAN SMEs to ensure a process which draws from existing resources within BUAN, while maintaining the direction of the project's approaches.

Ten core units were agreed upon, focusing on topics like course introduction, health and safety, record keeping, GIS & mapping, eco-literacy, bush management, human-wildlife conflict, soil conservation, animal health and husbandry, and adaptive planned grazing. In July and August, SMEs developed drafts for their assigned units using a template provided by BUAN-CICE.

In a 4-day writer's workshop hosted by BUAN-CICE, the ten units' drafts were reviewed by the team and taken forward in the process through simplification, more visualization, and alignment. By mid-September, all version 1 units of learning material (post-workshop) were submitted to the Director of BUAN-CICE for review.

EcoRanger Course Recognized as a Non-Credit Bearing Short Course by the Human Resources Development Council (HRDC)

Following the curriculum development workshop, the necessary HRDC registration paperwork was completed for submission to HRDC for approval. CICE submitted the registration documents and paid the fees in September. The Level 1 EcoRanger course was then officially recognized as a "Non-credit bearing short course (NCBSC)" by HRDC. This is being used as a bridge solution until the full Botswana Qualifications Authority (BQA) registration is completed for the Tier 2 course. Time spent on the NCBSC by participants will be credited towards the Tier 2 course once it receives BQA accreditation. The Project is still pursuing full BQA registration for the Tier 2 course.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) EcoRanger curriculum Tier 1 Developed with BUAN (including HRDC accreditation)

b) EcoRanger curriculum Tier 2 Developed with BUAN (including HRDC accreditation)

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.4 New rangeland monitoring system is operationalised, embedded, and utilised in market, carbon monitoring and policy systems

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.4.1 Establish a Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

60

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q1 - Y2 Q3

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Portal developed (to 60% capacity)

Status: Activity Started – on track

Progress: Portal developed to 60% out of 60%

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q3

Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal (RSIP) Developed at 60% Functionality

During this implementation period, the RSIP database made considerable progress in its development, including the creation of the geodatabase, domain hosting, and design of entity relationship (ER) diagram models. Testing, performance tuning along with development of user and systems requirements began in Q1 and carried on throughout the year. The team has also generated test procedures, defined calculations, and conducted data loading and dimension building, and stress testing.

As of the end of Q3, three out of four portal modules are at 60% functionality. These are described below:

- Livestock Management: This module covers aspects such as livestock inventory management, health/vaccinations, breeding, reproduction, etc. (+/- 60% functionality completed)
- Rangeland Management: This module focuses on vegetative and soil trends and conditions (+/- 40% functionality completed)
- RSA Tracking: This module tracks locations, participants, status (+/- 60% functionality completed)
- Grazing Management: This module involves grazing plans and grazing to plan (+/- 60% functionality completed); this module is slightly more complex due to the inclusion of a remote sensing component.

The portal is scheduled to be ready for testing in early 2024.

b) Conduct 1 National Workshop - Inter Ministerial Testing Workshop engaging 40 participants

Status: Not yet due

Progress: 0 out of 1 national workshops conducted

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): N/A

Throughout the year, the team has been engaging key ministries and district commissioner's offices to formally introduce the system and coordinate the formation of user/testing groups. The national workshop is expected to be held in 2024.

c) 6 Alpha and Beta Testing

Status: Not Yet Due

Progress: 0 out of 6 Alpha and Beta Tests Completed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q1

Similarly, the team has been engaging key ministries and district commissioner's offices to organize the user/testing groups which is anticipated to kick off in 2024.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) Portal developed (to 100% capacity)

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.4 New rangeland monitoring system is operationalised, embedded, and utilised in market, carbon monitoring and policy systems

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.4.2 Train and support staff, farmers and relevant officials to enable access to the Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal for improved decision-making and EbA planning and ensuring sustainable reduction in emissions

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

2

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y2 Q3

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) National Workshops to Train 40 National Offices on Use of Rangeland Stewardship

Status: Activity Started – progress delayed

Progress: 0 out of 40 people trained

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q3

As above, the team has been engaging key ministries and district commissioner’s offices to organize the user/training groups. The process will start in 2025.

b) 1 User Specific annual report system developed and implemented

Status: Not Yet Due

Progress: 0 out of 1 system developed and 0 out of 1 system implemented

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): N/A

As above, the team has been engaging key ministries and district commissioner’s offices to organize the user groups with whom the annual report system will be developed and implemented.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

n/a - merged with 1.4.1.

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

1.5 Improved government policy initiatives on climate change actions and needs, enabling adaptive management

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

1.5.1 Promote the Project EbA and emissions reduction approach and lessons learned to key decision-making forums

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

2 %

Original timeline planned for this activity *

N/A

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) At least 2 high level mentions (SONA, Budget speech, COP)
 Status: Activity Started – progress delayed
 Progress: 0 out of 2 high level mentions
 Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): N/A

In January, the project team submitted a request through MoA targeting inclusion of the Project ambition and contributions in the Budget Speech in April for the National Assembly and Ntlo Ya Dikgosi. While the project was not specifically highlighted in the speech, there were general acknowledgements about the expansion of the Ipelegeng Programme into the Agricultural Sector, which aligns with the project's goals.

Increased Media Awareness and Interest on the Project

To raise awareness and gain support, the project team hosted a project-focused media workshop on 11 July 2023 at the Hilton in Gaborone. The workshop brought together journalists from radio, television and print media, and Public Relations Officers from key institutions and Ministries. The workshop garnered positive coverage across various media outlets including Botswana Television, Radio Botswana, Mmegi, The Voice, Gabz FM, Duma FM, Corporate Citizen Magazine. This workshop facilitated interaction between the project team to interact with national media houses ensuring that key stakeholders in the media sector have a clear understanding and appreciation of the project.

b) At least 2 high level presentations (presidential level)
 Status: Activity started – On track
 Progress: 0 out of 2 high level presentations
 Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): N/A

The team has been advocating with the office of the President through the office of the Vice President. The objective of these discussions is to secure buy-in and support for the project from prominent leadership figures within the government. This paves the way for a potential meeting with the Office of the Vice President to formally request the opportunity to brief the Rural Development Council (RDC) on the project's goals and progress.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) High Level Engagements with Key Stakeholders

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

2.1 Job creation and social safety net programmes resourced by the Government are used to deploy restoration teams for climate-resilient land and livestock management in target Project Areas

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

2.1.1 Implement inclusive and gender-equitable recruitment, deployment, and in-service Training of Ecorangers, Restoration Worker Teams, and Graduate Monitors as part of Rangeland Stewardship

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

0	%
---	---

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y2 Q1 - Y8 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Recruit 360 EcoRangers / Restoration Workers

Status: Activity Started – progress delayed

Progress: 0 out of 360 ERWs/EcoRangers recruited

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q1 – Y8 Q4

Community Outreach Conducted and EcoRanger Candidates Identified

Upstream delays in developing the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), contracting with BUAN, and developing the curriculum have caused setbacks in the recruitment of EcoRangers and Ecosystem Restoration Workers (ERWs). To mitigate these delays, the team plans to collaborate closely with the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA) and the Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development (MLGRD) to expedite the creation of SOPs in 2024. Despite the recruitment delays, positive progress has been made on the community outreach front. Between July and November, the project team visited four demonstration sites to brief community members on the role of EcoRangers. These outreach sessions facilitated discussions within communities to identify potential candidates who would be well-suited for the EcoRanger positions. Community members actively participated by registering the names of individuals interested in becoming EcoRangers. This information will be collected and reviewed once project activities resume.

b) Train 360 EcoRangers/ Restoration Workers on Tier1 Course

Status: Not Started – progress delayed

Progress: 0 out of 360 ERWs/EcoRangers trained on Tier 1 course

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q2 – Y8 Q4

Training EcoRangers is dependent on curriculum development (1.3.1) and recruitment of ER/ERWs (2.1.1) which is ongoing.

In Q3, a meeting between BUAN-CICE management and Kgalagadi North team took place to plan coordination and timing of EcoRanger Training, pending the finalization of the curriculum and HRDC registration. Additionally, the project's finance team proactively met with BUAN to discuss facilitating payment arrangements between the MoA and BUAN. This collaboration is crucial to ensure a smooth flow of co-funding for EcoRanger training. Following the meeting, BUAN sent a letter to MoA requesting further guidance on the specific procedures for receiving co-funding. The project is currently awaiting feedback from MoA.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) EcoRangers Recruited & Trained

Note: This milestone assumes that an agreement is reached with the Ministry of Agriculture to fully resume the project by July 1, 2024

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

2.1 Job creation and social safety net programmes resourced by the Government are used to deploy restoration teams for climate-resilient land and livestock management in target Project Areas

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

2.1.2 Create and deploy Graduate Monitors to measure compliance and impacts and support Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal and BAITS data management and use for enhancing local emissions mitigation and adaptive management capacity

Status *

Activity started - progress delayed

Implementation Progress *

6

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y2 Q1 - Y8 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Design Graduate Internship Programme

Status: Started – on track

Progress: 0 out of 1 Graduate Internship Programmes designed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q1 – Y2 Q4

Draft Strategy for Engaging Graduate Monitors Developed and Submitted to MoA

During the first quarter, the Project collaborated with the Ministry of Labour and Home Affairs (MLHA) and the MoA to develop the project's strategy for engaging Graduate Monitors. This involves establishing administrative procedures such as defining job roles, recruitment processes, funding mechanisms, and overall management and oversight. The Graduate Monitor program's design has progressed significantly after many internal discussions and feedback. Initial consultations began with MoA through its Department of Animal Production Human Resources department. By Q3, the field team had finalized a draft for review, which was subsequently assessed by the capital team in Q4 before submission to MoA for their input.

b) 40 Graduate Monitors Employed

Status: Started - On Track

Progress: 32 out of 40 graduate monitors employed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q2 – Y8 Q4

31 Graduate Monitors Deployed to Project Sites

In Q1, 2023, the Project collaborated with the MoA and MLHA to successfully recruit graduate monitors for most demonstration sites. By the end of Q1 2023, graduate monitors were deployed in Kgalagadi, Northwest and Bobirwa. A key challenge encountered during recruitment was the limited availability of qualified graduates within the existing system. To overcome this hurdle, the project implemented a targeted strategy: a call for applications specifically aimed at potential graduates residing in, or near the demonstration sites.

Unfortunately, the Project experienced a turnover of 9 out of the initial 31 graduate monitors by the end of Q2, leaving only 22 active graduate monitors by the end of 2023. Turnover is attributed to interns securing employment elsewhere along with some transfers outside of the project area, notably in Ngamiland. Recruitment efforts will be expanded in Q2 of 2024 to include new demonstration sites targeted for program replication.

c) Guidelines for Rangeland Stewardship Graduate Monitor Programme Adopted by MoA

Status: Not yet due

Progress: 0 out of 1 programme operational

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q2 – Y2 Q4

The guidelines were developed in 2023 and will be shared with the MoA DAP HR Department in early 2024.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) Co-design Graduate Internship Programme (CI/MoA)

b) 200 Graduate Monitors Employed (cumulative)

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

2.2 Rehabilitation of ecosystems and improved management of land, soil, and livestock implemented and monitored to increase ecosystem productivity, reduce vulnerability of beneficiary populations, and reduce GHG emissions on 4.6 million hectares of climate-vulnerable communal rangelands

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

2.2.1 Complete baseline ecological and social assessments according to ESMP and GAP recommendations and international best practice

Status *

Activity started - progress on track

Implementation Progress *

0

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q4 - Y2 Q1

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) 9 Village Grazing Area Social Baseline Assessments Completed

Status: Started - On Track

Progress: 9 out of 9 household surveys completed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q4 - Y2 Q1

Household Survey Component of the Social Ecological Baseline Assessment Completed

Working with the 31 graduate monitors, the Project conducted a total of surveys in 663 households, with 326 of them being conducted in households headed by women. The process unfolded through the following steps:

Baseline assessment toolkit developed: During Q1, the baseline assessment design was completed, outlining the technical plan, workplan and budget. The survey instrument was digitized for efficient data collection. Kobo Collect software was installed on tablets for field data entry and trial runs along with pre-tests were conducted to ensure smooth implementation.

Ethical training and approvals received: In March, the project received approval from CHRC (Internal Review Committee) to ensure adherence to ethical research practices. Graduate Monitor training materials were developed and delivered to graduate monitors with the necessary skills to conduct the surveys in collaboration with the Moore Center's Director of Social Science.

Community engagement and media briefings conducted: Community engagement and media briefings were held to raise awareness and garner community support for the upcoming assessment. Informative notices were broadcast on radio stations and published in newspapers. Kgotla meetings (traditional village meetings) were held to directly engage with community members and address any concerns.

Reconnaissance and planning exercises conducted: To familiarize themselves with the local communities and the environment in advance of material development, the project team conducted reconnaissance and planning exercises in all three (3) field sites. This will be followed by a field reconnaissance exercise in April.

b) 9 Grazing Area Ecological Baseline Surveys Conducted

Status: Activity Started - Progress Delayed

Progress: 4 out of 9 ecological baseline survey conducted

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q4 - Y2 Q1

In Q2, the science team conducted a field reconnaissance mission to understand key ecological information, logistical detail, and establish connections with key stakeholders to ensure the smooth execution of fieldwork.

Procurement of equipment took longer than expected due to the unavailability of certain items including soil augers and bulk density kits. To overcome this obstacle, the team initially borrowed soil bulk density kits and other items from BUAN while the procurement process was being finalized.

During October and early November, data was collected in the three demo villages, one in Kgalagadi and two in Ngamiland (Shorobe and Sehithwa). In October and early November, data collection activities were carried out in the three demonstration villages, with one located in Kgalagadi and the other two in Ngamiland (specifically, Shorobe and Sehithwa).

c) Establish 9 Village Level Dashboards

Status: Not yet due

Progress: 0 out of 9 village level dashboards established

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): N/A

The structure and organization of the village level dashboards were developed using entity relationship diagrams. These dashboards will be populated with data collected through the Social Ecological Baseline Assessment process. Once completed, this will allow viewers to quickly access and understand the key social and ecological indicators for each village.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) 55 Village Grazing Area Baseline Household Surveys Completed (cumulative 64)

b) 18 Grazing Area Ecological Base-line Surveys Conducted (cumulative 27)

c) Establish 27 Village Level Dashboards

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

2.2 Rehabilitation of ecosystems and improved management of land, soil, and livestock implemented and monitored to increase ecosystem productivity, reduce vulnerability of beneficiary populations, and reduce GHG emissions on 4.6 million hectares of climate-vulnerable communal rangelands

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *	
2.2.2 Implement community-based climate-resilient planned grazing, restoration, water and soil, and fire management in 104 VDC grazing land target sites	
Status *	Implementation Progress *
Activity started - progress on track	2 %
Original timeline planned for this activity *	
Y2 Q1 - Y2 Q2	
Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.	
Progress for the relevant reporting period	
<p>a) Procure 360 sets of brush cutting & erosion management tools Status: Started – Behind Schedule Progress: 0 out of 360 sets of tools procured Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q1 – Y2 Q2</p> <p>The process of drafting specifications for grazing support packages (GSP) began in late Q1 2023. This includes mobile bo-mas, uniforms/personal protective equipment (PPE), overnight equipment, and tools for erosion control and bush management. In May and June, the initial list of items and specifications required for basic grazing starter packages included (1) mobile bomas (2) ERW tools (3) PPE.</p> <p>A basic market pricing assessment was undertaken in Q3 on brush cutting and erosion management tools to inform the bill of quantities. Plans were made to conduct a trial purchase in 2024 for a rainwater harvesting training, allowing both the team and communities to test the tools and provide feedback before proceeding with larger quantity purchases.</p> <p>An order for sample PPE and EcoRanger uniforms was placed in Q3 to assess the suitability of materials, colors, and sizing. These samples will be used to size EcoRangers during registration, ensuring accurate sizing for future uniform orders. Un-fortunately, the selected supplier was out of stock in Q4 2023, and the order will be placed when the supplier is back in stock.</p> <p>b) Procure 9 mobile bomas Status: Started – Progress Delayed Progress: 0 out of 9 starter grazing support packages distributed Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q1 - Y2 Q2</p> <p>In 2023, an order was placed for 9 mobile bomas. By year end, six (6) of the nine (9) were received and are scheduled for deployment in selected demo villages in 2024.</p> <p>A key challenge in boma procurement poses is the long lead times and limitations in the number of suppliers. While Wild-Graze, named in the proposal, has a good track record, the project team is exploring more agile local supplier arrangements. Efforts are underway to identify potential suppliers and collaborate with CI regional offices to find the most suitable solution.</p> <p>A significant challenge anticipated is the under-budgeting of bomas for the project. The budget allocates only one mobile boma per village, despite most villages requiring between 5 and 10 bomas, each capable of accommodating up to 500 head of cattle.</p> <p>Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.</p>	
Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period	
-	
Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.	

Project Output Name *	
2.2 Rehabilitation of ecosystems and improved management of land, soil, and livestock implemented and monitored to increase ecosystem productivity, reduce vulnerability of beneficiary populations, and reduce GHG emissions on 4.6 million hectares of climate-vulnerable communal rangelands	
The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.	
Project Activity Name *	
2.2.3 Monitor and analyse changes in ecosystem health and livestock emissions for adaptive management and emissions reduction reporting	
Status *	Implementation Progress *
Activity started - progress on track	2 %

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y1 Q3 - Y8 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Impact Evaluation Plan Developed

Status: Started - Behind Schedule

Progress: 1 out of 1 IE design complete

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y1 Q3 – Y1 Q4

The Impact Evaluation design is incorporated into the main body of the M&E plan with regard to data collection and indicators. During Q2, the Moore Center lead for Impact Evaluation met with the project team and the CI GCF Agency to clarify the way forward on the IE. In November, the Impact Evaluation draft was sent to the CI-GCF Agency M&E Focal Point.

b) 9 monitoring reports produced

Status: Activity Started – Progress Delayed

Progress: 0 out of 9 monitoring reports produced

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan):Y2 Q3 – Y8 Q4

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

3.1 Market readiness trainings, enterprise development support, supply chain facilitation, local fund development build the enabling conditions for improved low - emission livestock value chains and climate resilient rural economies

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

3.1.1 Facilitate new income generation , savings opportunities and local level funds especially from innovative CBT for livestock purchase from communal farmers active in Rangeland Stewardship Agreement for long-term resilience and sustainability

Status *

Activity not yet due

Implementation Progress *

0

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y2 Q4 - Y3 Q4

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Baseline assessment on awareness and operations across key target groups (private sector)

Status: Activity Started – Progress Delayed

Progress: 0 out of 1 baseline assessments conducted

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y2 Q4

Due to a lack of staffing and competing priorities, this key milestone will be pushed to 2024.

b) Develop memorandum of agreement (MoA) between the Project and at least one private sector partner

Status: Activity Started – Progress Delayed

Progress: 0 out of 1 MoAs developed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Y3 Q3 – Y3 Q4

Due to a lack of staffing and competing priorities, this key milestone will be pushed to 2024.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) Foundational Value Chain Development Preparation

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

3.1 Market readiness trainings, enterprise development support, supply chain facilitation, local fund development build the enabling conditions for improved low - emission livestock value chains and climate resilient rural economies

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

3.1.2 Build and improve understanding of regional economic resilience from expanding participation in business initiative which enable, complement or are based on climate-resilient livestock production and associated financial flows

Status *

Activity not yet due

Implementation Progress *

0

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

Y2 Q3

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

a) Sign Agreements with LEA and CEDA

Status: Not Yet Due

Progress: 0 out of 2 agreements signed

Original timeline for this activity (per the FAA Implementation Plan): Due Q3 Y2

In March, the project team convened a productive introductory session with LEA to outline the project's objectives and explore potential synergies with LEA's mission to support enterprises and entrepreneurial development.

Representatives from LEA and CEDA actively participated in various national and community-level events, including the BCD workshop in May, the inaugural PSC meeting in June, and community outreach activities from July to November.

LEA and CEDA have designated focal points for the project, who are expected to serve on relevant sub-committees once established by the main PSC committee. However, the specific areas of collaboration between the project and these organizations are yet to be fully defined. This process is slated to occur in 2024.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

a) Establishment of economic recovery strategy

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

3.2 Selected financiers and value-chain players are aware and supported to incentivise rangeland stewardship and adopt carbon-optimisation practices and technologies

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

3.2.1 Design, implement, and measure impact of an awareness campaign on climate-change, low-emissions productions, and Rangeland Stewardship production with broader red-meat value chain, including consumers

Status *

Activity not yet due

Implementation Progress *

0

%

Original timeline planned for this activity *

N/A

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

Not planned for 2023

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

Not planned for 2024

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

Project Output Name *

3.2 Selected financiers and value-chain players are aware and supported to incentivise rangeland stewardship and adopt carbon-optimisation practices and technologies

The output name should match with the output reported in the sub-section 2.4.3. If you have multiple activities to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name for every activity.

Project Activity Name *

3.2.2 Develop and embed rangeland stewardship within climate-resilient livestock production and financing protocol for the industry

Status *	Implementation Progress *
Activity not yet due	0 %

Original timeline planned for this activity *

N/A

Please refer to the Implementation Plan in the FAA. If the Implementation Plan has been amended in the countersigned Amendment and Restatement Agreement (ARA), please refer to the updated plan in the ARA.

Progress for the relevant reporting period

Not planned for 2023.

Provide an updated progress on this project activity for the relevant reporting period, including delays and issues encountered, the reason for differences between the planned implementation progress and actual implementation progress, key milestones reached, and lessons learned, including issues related to non-compliance with GCF standards or conditions, vis-à-vis expectations, if any. In parallel, include positive achievements and better-than-expected results.

Key milestones and deliverables for the next reporting period

Not planned for 2024.

Please include a list of key milestones and deliverables expected to be executed in the next reporting period.

2.4 Progress Update on the Logic Framework Indicators

Under this section, please report the 'annual value' for the reporting year only (for example, during 2023 only). Also report 'cumulative value' achieved as of the end of the reporting year as well as 'baseline', 'midterm target', 'final target', and 'expected lifetime emission reduction target'. Please note that the baseline and the respective target values should be reported for the total funding amount (GCF funding and co-financing) of the project. Also note that all these values should reflect information from the most recent/updated logical framework (logframe) agreed to with the GCF, whether through the FAA amendment process, submission and approval of the inception report, baseline assessment/study, APRs, and/or correspondence with GCF's portfolio managers on any logframe changes. If the most updated logframe deviates from that approved in the funding proposal, please provide clarification as to why there have been changes for each applicable indicator in the 'Remarks' box. For example, the clarification may include but is not limited to: 'the logframe was revised during the FAA negotiation process' or 'a baseline assessment has been completed as of August 2023 and the assessment has been submitted to the GCF for approval' or 'the revised baseline and target values have been submitted via the previous APR cycle and approved by the GCF' or 'the project logframe is being revised, and the FAA amendment process is currently ongoing with the GCF' etc. In case where a measurement methodology has been revised in agreement with the GCF for any indicators in the logframe, please attach supporting documentation describing the calculation methodology of all applicable indicators in APR Section 5 'Annexes and Attachments'.

This sub-section 2.4 is not applicable for REDD+ Results-Based Payments Projects. Please write 'Not Applicable' as the response.

2.4.1 Core Indicators

Select applicable core indicators

- Mitigation Core Indicator 1 - Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced as a result of GCF funded project/programme
- Mitigation Core Indicator 2 - Cost per tCO2eq decreased for GCF funded project/programme
- Mitigation Core Indicator 3 - Volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding (Disaggregated by public/private source)
- Adaptation Core Indicator 1 - Direct Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme
- Adaptation Core Indicator 2 - Indirect Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme
- Adaptation Core Indicator 3 - Number of total beneficiaries relative to total population

Mitigation Core Indicator 2 - Cost per tCO2eq decreased for GCF funded project/programme (Unit: Cost per tCO2eq)

Total GCF Funding	Total Co-financing	Currency
36760394	60872629	USD

This value is auto-populated from 3.1.1.

This value is auto-populated from 3.1.2.

This value is auto-populated from 3.1.

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
Mid-term Target	Final Target	Estimated cost per tCO2eq reduced over lifetime
122.43459990218577	20.7575347113999	4.538305637030461

Calculation methodologies:

The baseline is total project funding divided by baseline emission reduction.

The cumulative value is the total project funding divided by the cumulative value of emission reduction.

The mid-term target is total project funding by the mid-term target of emission reduction.

The final target is total project funding by the final target of emission reduction.

The estimated cost per tCO2eq emission reduced over lifetime is the total project funding divided by the expected lifetime emission reduction target.

Please confirm the aforementioned auto-calculated values and, if different, provide values and corresponding methodologies.

After reviewing the auto-calculated values, we can confirm that there have been no alterations. The previously mentioned values remain consistent.

Mitigation Core Indicator 3 - Volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding (Disaggregated by public/private source) (Unit: Currency)

Please provide the "annual value" of the volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding only during the reported calendar year. The 'Cumulative Value' refers to the cumulative ex-post volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding, and include co-financing amounts as leveraged finance. Leveraged finance means investment resulting from the contribution associated with GCF involvement in investment.

Please refer to the Section 3 of Policy on co-financing B.24/14 for further information on leveraged finance by GCF funding.

[Policy on co-financing](#)

Currency
USD

Total volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding

Volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding, from both public and private investors.

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
9 903 094	60 872 629	

Total private sector volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding

Volume of finance leveraged by GCF funding, from private sector investors only.

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
0	0	

Remarks (including changes, if any)

Despite having taken the appropriate measures per its obligations in Section 10.02 of the FAA, CI did not receive official reporting from the Host Country on GoB Co-Financing disbursed and/or applied to the implementation of the Funded Activity in Year 2. However, CI-Botswana has received documentation from the Host Country's Department of National Internship via the Botswana Ministry of Agriculture, which in combination with CI Botswana observations, indicates the employment of 31 Graduate Monitors in 2023 (Year 2). An updated report will be provided once available.

Adaptation Core Indicator 1 - Direct Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme (Units: number of individuals and percentage %)

Please provide the "annual value" of the number of direct beneficiaries who benefitted from GCF funding only during the reported calendar year. The 'Cumulative Value' refers to the total ex-post number of direct beneficiaries on a cumulative basis. Please note that all values should be based on total funding (GCF funding and co-financing).

Baseline	Baseline (% of female)
0	0 %
Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Annual Value (% of female)
0	0 %
Cumulative Value	Cumulative Value (% of female)
0	0 %
Mid-term Target	Mid-term Target (% of female)
60 000	50 %
Final Target	Final Target (% of female)
247 000	50 %
Remarks (including changes, if any)	

Adaptation Core Indicator 2 - Indirect Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme (Units: number of individuals and percentage %)

Please provide the "annual value" of the number of indirect beneficiaries who benefitted from GCF funding only during the reported calendar year. The 'Cumulative Value' refers to the total ex-post number of indirect beneficiaries on a cumulative basis. Please note that all values should be based on total funding (GCF funding and co-financing).

Baseline	Baseline (% of female)
0	0 %
Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Annual Value (% of female)
0	0 %
Cumulative Value	Cumulative Value (% of female)
0	0 %
Mid-term Target	Mid-term Target (% of female)
200 000	50 %
Final Target	Final Target (% of female)
2 290 000	50 %
Remarks (including changes, if any)	

2.4.2 A. Fund-level Impact Indicators

Select applicable impact indicators

[Important Note] Please note that at least mitigation core indicator 1 (for mitigation projects) and adaptation core indicator 1 and/or 2 (for adaptation projects) must be selected in 2.4.1 above before you select 2.4.2A, even in the case where the FAA does not specifically mention about reporting on core indicators. Since 2.4.2 is a breakdown of 2.4.1, the sum of 2.4.2 should be aligned with 2.4.1. For example, if you select M1.1 and M3.1 in 2.4.2A, 2.4.1 Mitigation Core Indicator 1 must be selected and the sum of the values reported in M1.1 and M3.1 must be entered as Mitigation Core indicator 1 value.

- M1.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of increased low-emission energy access and power generation
- M2.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of increased access to low-emission transport
- M3.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of buildings, cities, industries and appliances
- M4.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of sustainable management of forests and conservation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks
- A1.1 Change in expected losses of lives and economic assets due to the impact of extreme climate-related disasters in the geographic area of the GCF intervention
- A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.)
- A1.3 Number of Fund funded projects/programmes that supports effective adaptation to fish stock migration and depletion due to climate change
- A2.1 Number of males and females benefiting from introduced health measures to respond to climate-sensitive diseases
- A2.2 Number of food secure households (in areas/periods at risk of climate change impacts)
- A2.3 Number of males and females with year round access to reliable and safe water supply despite climate shocks and stresses
- A3.1 Number and value of physical assets made more resilient to climate variability and change, considering human benefits (reported where applicable)
- A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change
- A4.2 Value of ecosystem services generated or protected in response to climate change

M4.1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) reduced or avoided as a result of sustainable management of forests and conservation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks (Unit: tCO2eq)

Add up the tCO2eq. reported here and enter the sum in 2.4.1 Mitigation Core indicator 1. Please provide the "annual value" of ex-post tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) achieved as a result of sustainable management of forests and conservation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks only during the reported calendar year. The "cumulative value" of ex-post tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO2eq) relates to cumulative GHG reductions realized as a result of sustainable management of forests and conservation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks since the start of the actual implementation of the project until the reported calendar year included. Please note that the values should be based on total funding (GCF funding and co-financing). The 'Final Target' is the amount of emission reduced up to the end of implementation. The 'Expected lifetime emission reduction target' is an estimate of emission reductions over the lifetime. If the end of lifetime coincides with the end of implementation then please provide the same value for 'Final Target' and 'Expected lifetime emission reduction target'.

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0 tCO2eq	0 tCO2eq	0 tCO2eq
Mid-term Target	Final Target	Expected lifetime emission reduction target
797 430 tCO2eq	4 704 498 tCO2eq	21 513 100 tCO2eq

Remarks (including changes, if any)

A1.2 Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climateresilient livelihood options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.) (Units: number of individuals and percentage %)

Add up the direct/indirect beneficiaries numbers reported here and enter the sum in 2.4.1 Adaptation Core indicator 1 and/or 2. Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Cumulative Value' on a cumulative basis.

Baseline	Baseline (% of female)
0	0 %
Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Annual Value (% of female)
0	0 %
Cumulative Value	Cumulative Value (% of female)
0	0 %
Mid-term Target	Mid-term Target (% of female)
60 000	50 %
Final Target	Final Target (% of female)
247 000	50 %
Remarks (including changes, if any)	

A4.1 Coverage/scale of ecosystems protected and strengthened in response to climate variability and change (Units: multiple, as applicable)

Please provide ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Use 'Add row' button to add multiple units/sub-indicators

Unit

Hectares

Other (please specify)

ha

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
1 000 000	3670000	

Remarks (including changes, if any)

2.4.2 B. Fund-level Project/programme Outcome Indicators

Select applicable outcome indicators

Please choose Project Outcome Indicators from the list below. In case you changed your project outcome indicator names from the official ones below to project-specific ones, please choose the most relevant indicators from the below list and write the changed indicator name in the Remark text field at the bottom.

- M5.1 Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for low emission planning and development and their effective implementation
- M5.2 Number and level of effective coordination mechanisms
- M6.1 Proportion of low-emission power supply in a jurisdiction or market
- M6.2 Number of households, and individuals (males and females) with improved access to low-emission energy sources
- M6.3 MWs of low emission energy capacity installed, generated and/or rehabilitated as a result of GCF support
- M7.1 Energy intensity/improved efficiency of buildings, cities, industries and appliances as a result of Fund support
- M8.1 Number of additional female and male passengers using low-carbon transport as a result of Fund support
- M8.2 Vehicle fuel economy and energy source as a result of Fund support
- M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO2 emission reductions
- M10.1 Number of technologies and innovative solutions transferred or licensed to support low-emission development as a result of Fund support
- A5.1 Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for climate resilience and their effective implementation.
- A5.2 Number and level of effective coordination mechanisms
- A6.1 Use of climate information products/services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors
- A7.1 Use by vulnerable households, communities, businesses and public-sector services of Fund supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate change and variability
- A7.2 Number of males and females reached by [or total geographic coverage of] climate related early warning systems and other risk reduction measures established/ strengthened
- A8.1 Number of males and females made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses
- A9.1 Number of technologies and innovative solutions transferred or licensed to promote climate resilience as a result of Fund support

M9.1 Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO2 emission reductions

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Select M9.1 Units (as many as possible)

- Ha
- Other

Unit - Ha

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
1000000	4600000	

Remarks (including changes, if any)

Measures toward transforming rangelands under planned grazing management and other restoration activities are underway.

A5.1 Institutional and regulatory systems that improve incentives for climate resilience and their effective implementation.

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Select A5.1 Units (as many as possible)

- Number of systems/mechanisms
- Number of policies/strategies/plans/regulations
- Other (please specify)

% of targeted communal grazing areas implementing strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate responsive planning and development

Unit - Other

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0

Mid-term Target

Final Target

Remarks (including changes, if any)

At present, the Project is yet to deploy mechanisms for New Rangeland Stewardship planning, skills development, implementation support, and monitoring system for climate responsive management deployed across targeted communal grazing areas. Nevertheless, processes for finalizing some of the systems such as the new Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal is nearing completion.

A7.1 Use by vulnerable households, communities, businesses and public-sector services of Fund supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate change and variability

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Current Value' on a cumulative basis.

Select A7.1 Units (as many as possible)

- Number of households
- Number of individuals
- Number of businesses (including farmers)/cooperatives
- Percentage of increase in main crop field
- Percentage of households
- Other

Unit - Percentage of households

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0"/> %	<input type="text" value="0"/> %
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
<input type="text" value="30"/> %	<input type="text" value="80"/> %	

Remarks (including changes, if any)

The Rangeland Stewardship Agreements and the Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal are currently under development. This will provide for the compliance and further monitoring whether the negotiated terms of Rangeland Stewardship Agreements in Village Grazing Areas, including implementation of at least one of the adaptation mechanisms are being met.

2.4.3 Project/Programme-level Outcome & Output Indicators

Please provide the "annual value" achieved only during the reported calendar year and the ex-post 'Cumulative Value' on a cumulative basis. If you have multiple outputs to be reported against one outcome, you need to write down the same outcome name for every output. Likewise, if you have multiple indicators to be reported against one output, you need to write down the same output name and corresponding outcome name for every indicator.

Use 'Add row' button to add multiple outcomes, outputs and/or indicators.

Results Areas	Outcome Name
<input type="text" value="[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities"/>	<input type="text" value="A5.0 Strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development"/>
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)	
<input type="text" value="Not Applicable"/>	
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.	
Indicator Name	
<input type="text" value="Institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development"/>	
Unit	
<input type="text" value="% of target area where institutional mechanism exists for engaging and measuring effectiveness of national and local stakeholders' actions on climate responsive planning or management of communal rangelands"/>	

Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
30	80	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
<p>If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.</p>		

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	A7.0 Strengthened adaptive capacity and reduced exposure to climate risks	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
Not Applicable		
<p><small>Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.</small></p>		
Indicator Name		
Use by vulnerable households, communities business and public-sector services of Fund-supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate change and vulnerability		
Unit		
% of Village grazing Areas in compliance with negotiated terms of Rangeland Stewardship Agreements including implementation of at least on of the following: collective grazing, ecosystem restoration activities and improved livestock practices		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
30	80	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
<p>If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.</p>		

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Ecosystems and ecosystem services	M9.0 Improved management of land or forest areas contributing to emissions reductions	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
Not Applicable		
<p><small>Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.</small></p>		
Indicator Name		
Hectares of land or forests under improved and effective management that contributes to CO2 emissions reductions		
Unit		
ha		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
1 000 000	4 600 000	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
<p>If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.</p>		

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	A5.0 Strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
1.1 New gender equitable structures and systems for climate responsive planning and implementation by communal populations are operationalised		
<small>Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.</small>		
Indicator Name		
Change in communal population understanding of Rangeland Stewardship Agreements and their relationship to climate-resilient grazing practices and rangeland rehabilitation		
Unit		
% correct responses on post-training assessments		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
50	90	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.		

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	A5.0 Strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
1.1 New gender equitable structures and systems for climate responsive planning and implementation by communal populations are operationalised		
<small>Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.</small>		
Indicator Name		
Number of Stakeholders who have had an opportunity to design and implement a Rangeland Stewardship Agreement		
Unit		
% signatories of Rangeland Stewardship Agreements that are female farmers		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	55.5	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
30	40	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
This reporting period, we have had 613 people participating in information sessions and training on Rangeland Stewardship Agreements. Of these, 340 were women, representing approximately 55.5% of the people involved and now with an opportunity to design and implement a Rangeland Stewardship Agreement.		
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.		

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	A5.0 Strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
1.1 New gender equitable structures and systems for climate responsive planning and implementation by communal populations are operationalised		
<small>Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.</small>		
Indicator Name		
Number of Stakeholders who have had an opportunity to design and implement a Rangeland Stewardship Agreement		

Unit		
% of farming population in project areas engaged to design Rangeland Stewardship Agreements		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
25	80	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.		

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	A5.0 Strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
1.2 New job creation programme and veterinary approach for climate responsiveness are adopted by national departments		
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.		
Indicator Name		
Functional Ministry of Agriculture Ipelegeng Job Creation Programme enables Ecorangers and Rangeland Restoration Worker deployment on communal rangelands & Functional Dept of Veterinary Services able to respond to climate risks associated with wildlife-livestock coexistence and enable Commodity-based Trade		
Unit		
% improvement in targeting needs of farmers through inclusion of climate change risks and strategies in national job-creation and animal health disease prevention for climate resilience		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
30	80	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.		

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	A5.0 Strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
1.3 New rangeland management curricula developed and operationalised to expand skills for restoration and regenerative grazing		
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.		
Indicator Name		
Ecoranger Training Curriculum Registered & Deployed		
Unit		
Formal curriculum deployed for skills training in rangeland restoration and livestock herding in communal lands		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
0	1	

Remarks (including changes, if any)

Agreements to develop the rangeland management curricula have been signed with the Project's training partner, the Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources' Centre for Inservice and Continuing Education (BUAN-CICE). The process of drafting and refining curriculum materials is currently underway, with ten core units finalized and agreed upon through a validation process.

If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.

Results Areas

[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities

Outcome Name

A5.0 Strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development

Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)

1.4 New rangeland monitoring system is operationalised embedded, and utilised in market, carbon monitoring and policy systems

Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.

Indicator Name

New Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal exists Total number, gender, type (farmer, Ecoranger, VDC, NGO, district, national official), geographic location of users, and type of report requested Change in capacity of trained individuals in designated positions to access and utilise Project supported information system (including understanding of the information provided and how to use in their work)

Unit

% project communities designing climate responsive RSA designed and deployed using data from Rangeland Stewardship Information Portal

Baseline

0

Annual Value (Reporting Year)

0

Cumulative Value

0

Mid-term Target

50

Final Target

90

Remarks (including changes, if any)

If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.

Results Areas

[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities

Outcome Name

A5.0 Strengthened institutional and regulatory systems for climate-responsive planning and development

Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)

1.5 Improved government policy initiatives on climate change actions and needs, enabling adaptive management

Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.

Indicator Name

Integration of Project lessons and results in Botswana NDC, National Development Plan, National Gender and Development Strategy, and Gaborone Declaration for Sustainability in Africa Reports

Unit

Baseline

0

Annual Value (Reporting Year)

0

Cumulative Value

0

Mid-term Target

0

Final Target

0

Remarks (including changes, if any)

The target is to have Project objectives and lessons represented in the NDC, NDP and GDSA Review documents. In the long-term, it is expected that the upscaling Plan to all of Botswana is tabled to National Development Plan.

If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.

Results Areas

[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	Outcome Name A7.0 Strengthened adaptive capacity and reduce exposure to climate risks
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome) 2.1 Job creation and social safety net programmes resourced by the Government are used to deploy restoration teams for climate-resilient land and livestock management in target Project Areas	
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.	
Indicator Name Total # of men and women in project areas with new livelihood strategies (skills and employment) related to climate resilient land and livestock management as ecorangers, restoration workers and monitors	
Unit # of people	
Baseline 0	Annual Value (Reporting Year) 31
Cumulative Value 31	
Mid-term Target 2 000	Final Target 6 000
Remarks (including changes, if any) 31 graduate monitors have been recruited by the Ministry of Agriculture and Ministry of Labour for most of the project demonstration sites in Kgalagadi, Northwest and Bobirwa.	
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.	

[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	Outcome Name A7.0 Strengthened adaptive capacity and reduce exposure to climate risks
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome) 2.2 Rehabilitation of ecosystems and improved management of land, soil and livestock implemented to increase ecosystem productivity, reduce vulnerability of beneficiary populations, and reduce GHG emission on 4.6 million hectares of climate-vulnerable communal rangelands	
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.	
Indicator Name Hectares of degraded land under active restoration (resting, re-seeding, trampling, mulching/organic litter, bush-thinning)	
Unit % of target Village grazing Areas with improvement in number of hectares under active restoration	
Baseline 0	Annual Value (Reporting Year) 0
Cumulative Value 0	
Mid-term Target 30	Final Target 80
Remarks (including changes, if any)	
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.	

[Adaptation] Livelihoods of people and communities	Outcome Name A7.0 Strengthened adaptive capacity and reduce exposure to climate risks
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome) 2.2 Rehabilitation of ecosystems and improved management of land, soil and livestock implemented to increase ecosystem productivity, reduce vulnerability of beneficiary populations, and reduce GHG emission on 4.6 million hectares of climate-vulnerable communal rangelands	
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.	

Indicator Name		
Ecosystem Resilience: X% Reduced bush encroachment and bare ground, X% increase in palatable species composition ratios and water infiltration		
Unit		
% of target village grazing areas with at least 20% improvement in grazing areas		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
20	50	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.		

Results Areas	Outcome Name
[Adaptation] Ecosystems and ecosystem services	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)	
2.2 Rehabilitation of ecosystems and improved management of land, soil and livestock implemented to increase ecosystem productivity, reduce vulnerability of beneficiary populations, and reduce GHG emission on 4.6 million hectares of climate-vulnerable communal rangelands	
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.	
Indicator Name	
Livestock resilience: % decrease in unnatural deaths - climate, road accidents, predation, disease	
Unit	
%decrease in unnatural livestock mortality	
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)
0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target
20	50
Remarks (including changes, if any)	
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.	

Results Areas	Outcome Name
[Adaptation] Ecosystems and ecosystem services	M9.0 Improved management of land or forest areas contributing to emissions reductions
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)	
3.1 Market readiness trainings, enterprise development support supply chain facilitation, and local level funds build the enabling conditions for improved low-emission livestock value chains	
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.	
Indicator Name	
Number of private sector partners engaged in purchase of livestock from RSA compliant farmers	
Unit	
Private sector entities purchasing from RSA compliant farmers # of livestock value-chain buyer entities (abattoirs, speculators)	
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)
0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target
2	5

Remarks (including changes, if any)

If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Ecosystems and ecosystem services	M9.0 Improved management of land or forest areas contributing to emissions reductions	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
3.1 Market readiness trainings, enterprise development support supply chain facilitation, and local level funds build the enabling conditions for improved low-emission livestock value chains		
<small>Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.</small>		
Indicator Name		
Number of men and women from target communities with new/additional income as a result of Project-enabled livestock or livestock product sales Number of men and women who participate in Project promoted opportunities for livestock sale and livestock sector value-chain development (e.g. fodder gardens, bush-fodder production, fire management, waste and water management enterprises from abattoirs and butcheries, and livestock product (hides and skins) enterprises)		
Unit		
Livestock Product Value chain beneficiaries		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
24 000	96 000	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.		

Results Areas	Outcome Name	
[Adaptation] Ecosystems and ecosystem services	M9.0 Improved management of land or forest areas contributing to emissions reductions	
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome)		
3.1 Market readiness trainings, enterprise development support supply chain facilitation, and local level funds build the enabling conditions for improved low-emission livestock value chains		
<small>Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.</small>		
Indicator Name		
Number of men and women within the Project Area that are benefiting from more resilient economic activity		
Unit		
# of rural economy beneficiaries		
Baseline	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
0	0	0
Mid-term Target	Final Target	
45 000	151 000	
Remarks (including changes, if any)		
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.		

Results Areas

[Adaptation] Ecosystems and ecosystem services	Outcome Name M9.0 Improved management of land or forest areas contributing to emissions reductions
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome) 3.2 Selected financiers and value-chain players are aware and supported to incentivise rangeland stewardship and adopt carbon optimisation practices and technologies	
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.	
Indicator Name Awareness and operations baseline assessment across key stakeholder groups (commercial farmers, trade partners, tourism sector, local consumers)	
Unit %improvement in awareness in key value-chain player segments	
Baseline 0	Annual Value (Reporting Year) 0
Cumulative Value 0	
Mid-term Target 30	Final Target 80
Remarks (including changes, if any) 	
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.	

[Adaptation] Ecosystems and ecosystem services	Outcome Name M9.0 Improved management of land or forest areas contributing to emissions reductions
Output Name (under the afore-mentioned outcome) 3.2 Selected financiers and value-chain players are aware and supported to incentivise rangeland stewardship and adopt carbon optimisation practices and technologies	
Please write 'Not Applicable' if the below-mentioned indicator is to be reported directly at the outcome level.	
Indicator Name Climate resilience awareness and protocols embedded in staff training and strategic decision-making by leadership of key stakeholder groups (BMC, CEDA, other key market players)	
Unit climate resilience considerations in BMC and CEDA strategies	
Baseline 0	Annual Value (Reporting Year) 0
Cumulative Value 0	
Mid-term Target 30	Final Target 80
Remarks (including changes, if any) 	
If applicable, please submit a supporting document describing the calculation methodology for the current values provided.	

2.5 Report on changes during implementation (include actual and expected changes)

Actual Changes:
(1) Move to direct implementation in Kgalagadi due to lack of Implementing Partners

- (2) Adapted role of Implementing Partners in Bobirwa and Ngamiland to a more passive involvement with CI having more of a direct link to VDCs for in-kind grant distribution
 - (3) The project is now working in 6 districts as opposed to the original 3, as outlined below. This results in additional district authorities to engage.
 - a. District restructure
 - i. Ngamiland area split into two districts: Northwest District (Maun) and Okavango District (Gumare)
 - ii. Hukunsi District, Tsabong District with potential name changes upcoming
 - iii. Bobirwa became its own District (from Sub-District)
 - b. Mabutsane is under Southern District; it was originally planned under Kgalagadi given its proximity to that bio-region though it administratively falls in Southern District.
 - (4) Change from consultants to in-house delivery: In at least three cases, the project is moving from consultancies to in-house delivery. This includes the SOP development, curriculum development, and portal development.
- Expected Changes:
- (5) The project requires more than 1 boma per community; closer to 5-10 bomas are required in each of the communities. There is an expected budgetary gap to be addressed. Each boma has a recommended capacity of 500 Animal Unit Equivalent (AUE). Typical community herds range from 4,000 to over 10,000 which would require 8-20 bomas to accommodate a full community herd. [SP4] [MM5] The project will pursue options for additional bomas include reallocation of cluster-level Grazing Support Package (GSP) as well as other alternatives from outside funding sources.
 - (6) Recommendation to restructure / cancel the Rare contract and to apply that savings to purchase additional mobile bomas. [SP6] [MM7] [MM8] [MM9]
 - (7) Given the size and logistical challenges of Ngamiland, the project team is considering a 10th cluster in the Shakawe area of the northern panhandle near the border of Namibia (Caprivi). These villages are all in the original plan and is simply a re-grouping for management, outreach and logistical purposes.
 - (8) [SP10] The role of EcoRangers (skilled herders) and Ecosystem Restoration Workers (focused on bush management and erosion control) will be merged into a singular EcoRanger program for simplicity and flexibility. The skills and knowledge required for bush management and erosion control. This is being reflected against the budget and other plans to avoid unintended consequences.

Describe changes to the project during the reporting period. In particular, the report should cover elements such as change of beneficial ownership structure, management changes of the Accredited Entity, policies and other elements relevant for the project, and any other material change that could influence the overall outcome of the project.

2.6 Implementation challenges and lessons learned

Below is examples per risk type for reference. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Operational: Start-up delays, inadequate or failed internal processes/systems, people management issues, restructuring

Political: Elections and changes in government, humanitarian crises, war, civil disorder, terrorism, sanctions and embargoes, criminal activities, and other country-specific issues

Procurement: Disruption/lapse in supply chain, conflict with suppliers, market failure

Legal: Contractual breach, legal disputes, insurance issues, changes in law and regulations on the ground, third-party liabilities

Sanctions: UN-imposed or any other international sanctions

Covid-19: Covid-19 related

Compliance: Any non-compliance with any GCF policies and procedures

Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESS): Any activities against GCF ESS policy

Gender: Any activities against GCF Gender policy

Indigenous Peoples (IPs): Any activities against GCF IPs policy

Financial: Potential default on loan repayment, and asset-liability management issues

Prohibited practices: Any activities against GCF Prohibited Practices policy

Ethics and conflicts of interest: Any activities against GCF Ethics and conflicts of interest

AML/CFT: Any activities against GCF AML/CFT policy and standards

Fiduciary standards: Any activities against GCF Fiduciary standards

SEAH: Any activities against GCF SEAH policy

Whistle-blowers: Any activities against GCF Protection of whistle-blowers and witnesses policy

Other: E.g., Natural uncertainties (COVID-19), cyber security risks

Challenge encountered

Throughout the year, the project faced significant vacancies in key positions, including the Chief of Party, Project Delivery Director, Procurement Manager, Finance Manager, and Farmer Field Associates

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type Operational **Impact level on the project implementation** Moderate

Measures adopted

All vacant positions under recruitment
Formerly vacant positions have been filled in Q3 (Finance Manager, Gender and Safeguards Specialist)
Remediated recruitment plan was submitted in Q3 from Executing Entity to Accredited Entity

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Lesson learned and other remarks

Recruitment must remain a high priority and lead time must be closely monitored.

Please check if this challenge is caused by COVID-19 pandemic.

Yes
 No

Challenge encountered

Integrating the project into government systems has been slow moving.

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type Operational **Impact level on the project implementation** Moderate

Measures adopted

The PSC was initiated, and meetings held. Having the PSC will provide oversight and improved coordination among involved government agencies. New focal point from MoA provided good support until late in the year; The project team and MoA counterparts met routinely. Ensure this is maintained and leveraged.

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Lesson learned and other remarks

Reconstituting the PSC is essential so that collaboration with key staff in MoA and other ministries will cascade to all levels. Motivated focal points are crucial in progressing key government actions.

Please check if this challenge is caused by COVID-19 pandemic.

Yes
 No

Challenge encountered

Mobile bomas are substantially under-budgeted and could cause a risk of disappointing communities who have high expectations from the Project.

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type Financial **Impact level on the project implementation** High

Measures adopted

To address this challenge, the following measures are being explored:

- Alternative funding
- Local production/cheaper production costs
- Cost-recovery arrangement with communities to re-pay

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Lesson learned and other remarks

1 boma can only accommodate 500 head of cattle, and most communities have at least 3,000 – 10,000 head of cattle. Measures to help manage expectations will be explored. This challenge is yet to be resolved and will be discussed through participatory mechanisms.

Please check if this challenge is caused by COVID-19 pandemic.

- Yes
 No

Challenge encountered

Life-of-project budgetary gap

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Financial

Impact level on the project implementation

Moderate

Measures adopted

The budget has been under re-tooling

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Lesson learned and other remarks

Conduct a more detailed salary analysis during budgeting

Please check if this challenge is caused by COVID-19 pandemic.

- Yes
 No

Challenge encountered

The unsuccessful Request for Proposals (RFPs) for both EcoRanger Curriculum Development and Ipelegeng Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) led to the inability to secure a suitable consultant for either service.

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type

Operational

Impact level on the project implementation

Minor/Solved

Measures adopted

The functions are now being performed in-house

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Lesson learned and other remarks

Niche services are challenging to procure through open tenders.

Please check if this challenge is caused by COVID-19 pandemic.

Yes

No

Challenge encountered

Donor requirements are stringent, resulting in a substantial administrative burden on the technical and operations teams

Describe the challenge faced during the last twelve (12) months of implementation and critical risks that may result in a change to the scope and/or timing of the project; please provide a description and how they have impacted the implementation period and could impact other activities and final targets. N.B. Choose the most relevant type if the challenge is related to multiple types.

Challenge type Operational **Impact level on the project implementation** Minor/Solved

Measures adopted

Prioritize administrative issues

Please check if the above-mentioned challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period

The challenge(s) has been resolved during the reporting period.

Lesson learned and other remarks

Make projects less prescriptive and allow more flexibility in implementation to achieve the desired results; reduce red tape

Please check if this challenge is caused by COVID-19 pandemic.

Yes

No

2.7 Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity

Please submit the implementation time table for the Funded Activity

[FP158 Implementation Timetable 2024.xlsx](#)

2.8 [Private Sector Co-Investment Platform only] List of the ultimate beneficiaries (asset owners) or the investors (asset managers)

Please provide where GCF's investments are possibly benefitting leading green institutional investors (such as California State Retirement Savings, Norges Bank, Kuwait Investment Authority, Temasek).

Confirmation and Acknowledgement of Information *

* This is a required question to submit section 2 of the Annual Performance Report (APR).

The accredited entity hereby confirms that the information provided in section 2 is complete and ready for submission.

Annual Performance Report CY2023 (for projects/programme approved under the PMFs)

APR CY2023 Section 5: Annexes and Attachments - v2 (Draft) 2024-04-30 22:09 +09:00

[APR CY2023] Section 5: Annexes and Attachments

Please note that this is section 5 of the five Annual Performance Report (APR) sections. APR will be considered valid only after all the five sections are filled with relevant details.

Annex 1: Accredited Entity compliance reports

Self-assessment reports, Report on Actions pursuant to Clause 18.02, if applicable. Self-assessment reports: In accordance with the AMA requirement in Clause 13.01 of the Accreditation Master Agreement, with the Fiduciary Principles and Standards, ESS and Gender Policy. Report on Actions pursuant to Clause 18.02: Only applicable to International Accredited Entities. In accordance with the Monitoring and Accountability Framework, a report on its actions carried out or planned to be carried out pursuant to Clause 18.02 of the Accreditation Master Agreement.

Please provide comments on the annexes attached above if any.

Procurement information (on a voluntary basis)

Please download the procurement information template available in Excel below.

[Procurement information template](#)

Please attach the procurement information here.

Please provide information on goods and services procured under GCF-funded projects/programmes. The information is being collected to increase transparency of activities undertaken with GCF resources. This exercise does not imply or require any change in the procurement processes already agreed with the GCF and is purely for information purposes. Please provide information on cumulative procurement activities undertaken in each project/programme funded by the GCF.

Attachments

Please submit any attachments (if any).

[FP158 FAA 10.02 \(a\) certification_2023 signed.pdf](#)

[Annex 3 MoU GCF - CI Botswana and MoA BW.pdf](#)

[Annex 4 Ecosystem-Based Adaptation and Mitigation in Botswana's Communal Rangelands PSC ToR.pdf](#)

[Annex 1 FP158 Updated Implementation Timetable 2024.xlsx](#)

[Annex 5 How Would It Work Here - Restoring Rangelands 2023 0.pdf](#)

[Annex 6 Rangeland Restoration Teams - Restoring Rangelands 2023 06.pdf](#)

[Annex 7 Rangeland Stewardship Agreements - Restoring Rangelands 2023 06.pdf](#)

[Annex 8 Regenerative Strategies - Restoring Rangelands 2023 06.pdf](#)

Confirmation and Acknowledgement of Information *

* This is a required question to submit section 5 of the Annual Performance Report (APR).

The accredited entity hereby confirms that the information provided in section 5 is complete and ready for submission.